ISSN (Print): 1060-6076
ISSN (Online): Applied

The Journal of African Development
2026; Vol 7: Issue 1
https://www.afea-jad.com/

Role Of Financial Technology In Enhancing Financial Inclusion Among Rural Communities.

Mr. C. Muthukumar[1], Dr. C. Paramasivan[2]

[1]Ph.D. Part-Time Research Scholar PG & Research Department of Commerce Thanthai Periyar Government Arts and Science College (Autonomous) Tiruchirappalli – 620023 (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli -620 024)
[2]Associate Professor & Research Advisor PG & Research Department of Commerce Thanthai Periyar Government Arts and Science College (Autonomous) Tiruchirappalli – 620023 (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli -620 024)
Corresponding Author
Email ID: cmuthukumar73@gmail.com

Cite This Paper as: Mr. C. Muthukumar, Dr. C. Paramasivan (2026) Role Of Financial Technology In Enhancing Financial Inclusion Among Rural Communities...The Journal of African Development l, Vol.7, No.1, 1380-1389

KEYWORDS
FinTech, Financial Inclusion, Rural Communities, Digital Literacy & User Satisfaction..

ABSTRACT
Financial Technology (FinTech) has become a significant catalyst for enhancing financial inclusion by improving access to digital financial services among rural communities. This study examines the influence of FinTech adoption on financial inclusion and user satisfaction while assessing the impact of digital literacy, financial literacy, and FinTech awareness. Primary data were collected from 270 rural respondents using a structured questionnaire, and the data were analysed through descriptive statistics and Multiple Linear Regression analysis. The findings reveal that FinTech adoption significantly enhances financial inclusion and user satisfaction. The study concludes that strengthening digital literacy, financial awareness, and digital infrastructure can further accelerate inclusive and sustainable rural financial development.....

1. INTRODUCTION

Financial Technology (FinTech) has revolutionized the financial services industry by integrating innovative digital technologies with traditional banking and financial systems. The rapid adoption of digital payment platforms, mobile banking, Unified Payments Interface (UPI), digital wallets, Artificial Intelligence (AI), blockchain technology, and cloud computing has transformed the accessibility and efficiency of financial services worldwide. In developing countries such as India, FinTech has emerged as a powerful instrument for promoting financial inclusion, particularly among rural communities that have traditionally experienced limited access to formal financial institutions. The Government of India's initiatives, including Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana (PMJDY), Digital India, Aadhaar Enabled Payment System (AEPS), Direct Benefit Transfer (DBT), and UPI, have accelerated the adoption of digital financial services and encouraged greater participation in the formal financial system.

Despite these remarkable developments, several rural populations continue to encounter challenges such as inadequate digital literacy, poor financial literacy, limited internet connectivity, cybersecurity concerns, and insufficient awareness of FinTech services. These barriers restrict the effective utilization of digital financial platforms and reduce the potential benefits of financial inclusion. At the same time, increasing smartphone penetration and expanding internet infrastructure have significantly improved access to digital payment systems, enabling rural households to perform secure, convenient, and cost-effective financial transactions.

The present study investigates the role of Financial Technology in enhancing financial inclusion among rural communities by examining the influence of FinTech adoption on financial inclusion and user satisfaction. Furthermore, the study evaluates the impact of digital literacy, financial literacy, and FinTech awareness on financial inclusion. The findings are expected to provide valuable insights for policymakers, financial institutions, technology providers, and rural development agencies in designing effective strategies to strengthen digital financial ecosystems and achieve inclusive and sustainable economic development.

.

2. GROWTH OF FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY IN ENHANCING FINANCIAL INCLUSION

Financial Technology (FinTech) has experienced remarkable growth over the past decade, transforming the delivery of financial services through digital innovation. The widespread adoption of smartphones, internet connectivity, cloud computing, artificial intelligence, blockchain technology, and digital payment platforms has significantly improved financial accessibility, particularly in developing economies. In India, initiatives such as the Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana (PMJDY), Unified Payments Interface (UPI), Aadhaar Enabled Payment System (AEPS), Digital India, and Direct Benefit Transfer (DBT) have accelerated the expansion of digital financial services and encouraged financial participation among rural populations. FinTech has reduced geographical barriers by enabling secure, affordable, and real-time financial transactions without requiring physical bank visits. The rapid increase in mobile banking, digital wallets, internet banking, and UPI transactions has enhanced savings behaviour, payment efficiency, access to credit, and government benefit transfers. Despite this progress, challenges including inadequate digital literacy, poor internet infrastructure, cybersecurity concerns, and limited financial awareness continue to affect rural adoption. Strengthening digital infrastructure, promoting financial education, and expanding inclusive digital ecosystems are essential to maximizing the benefits of FinTech. Consequently, FinTech has become a key instrument for achieving inclusive economic growth and sustainable financial development in rural communities.

3. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The academic landscape surrounding digital financial integration highlights several critical pathways, infrastructure requirements, and behavioral shifts among rural populations. Kamble et al. (2024) explored the interconnected dynamics of digital financial literacy, financial inclusion, and their cumulative impact on individual financial well-being using multidimensional indices, demonstrating that demand-side capacity building acts as a critical mechanism to convert basic banking access into meaningful utilization of digital financial services. This perspective is expanded by Choung et al. (2023), who examined the evolving paradigm of digital financial literacy by defining it through core dimensions like practical operational know-how and digital scam self-protection, highlighting that individuals with sophisticated self-protection competencies are significantly more resilient against operational vulnerabilities and report higher satisfaction. Focusing on structural changes at the grassroots level, Muthukumar (2026) investigated the digital transformation in rural districts by analyzing the adoption of the Unified Payments Interface (UPI) among agricultural communities and self-help groups, emphasizing that the transition from conventional cash-reliant routines functions effectively as a virtual banking branch that decreases physical distance barriers. However, Farukh (2025) addressed fundamental structural shifts in these rural financial ecosystems driven by FinTech startups, noting that despite institutional advances under national programs, deep-seated regional disparities persist due to structural deficits in digital infrastructure and inadequate localized training.

Building upon these infrastructural realities, Aishwarya (2026) assessed the direct socioeconomic impacts of UPI deployment within localized rural consumer blocks and emphasized that while UPI serves as a major driver for day-to-day transaction convenience, its holistic expansion remains restricted by inconsistent internet connectivity, language barriers, and a lingering psychological preference for physical currency notes. This challenge is further contextualized by Afsheen (2025), who evaluated the structural gaps and enabling mechanisms that characterize FinTech inclusion across underbanked regions, proving that high tech-awareness directly correlates with decreased transaction anxiety and a heightened willingness to explore secondary services like micro-insurance and credit. In a broader evaluation, Rai (2025) conducted a comprehensive systematic review tracking the intersections between financial technology tools, digital inclusion, and consumer literacy across emerging markets, synthesizing those vulnerable groups—including low-income rural households—face systemic barriers such as fraud susceptibility and insufficient technical awareness that stifle equitable progress. This aligns with the work of Gumilar (2024), who examined how digital disruption and regulatory evolutions redefine modern financial delivery mechanisms, concluding that optimizing digital financial inclusion requires looking past pure device penetration and focusing heavily on standardizing the underlying multi-party transactional platforms and consumer rights frameworks to foster long-term ecosystem reliability.

4. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Financial Technology (FinTech) has emerged as a key driver of financial inclusion by providing innovative digital financial services such as mobile banking, digital payments, online fund transfers, and electronic wallets. Despite significant government initiatives, including Digital India, Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana (PMJDY), and Unified Payments Interface (UPI), the adoption of FinTech services among rural communities remains uneven. Many rural residents continue to face challenges such as limited digital literacy, inadequate financial literacy, poor internet connectivity, security concerns, and insufficient awareness of digital financial platforms. These barriers restrict access to formal financial services and hinder the effective utilization of FinTech solutions. Although digital financial services have the potential to improve financial accessibility, savings, and user satisfaction, empirical evidence on their effectiveness in rural communities remains limited. Therefore, it is essential to examine the extent to which Financial Technology influences financial inclusion and user satisfaction while evaluating the role of digital literacy, financial literacy, and FinTech awareness in promoting inclusive and sustainable financial development among rural communities.

5. SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The present study focuses on examining the role of Financial Technology (FinTech) in enhancing financial inclusion among rural communities. It evaluates the influence of FinTech adoption on financial inclusion and user satisfaction while assessing the contribution of digital literacy, financial literacy, and FinTech awareness to improving access to formal financial services. The study covers key aspects such as demographic characteristics, digital payment preferences, financial inclusion status, barriers to FinTech adoption, perceived benefits of digital financial services, and user satisfaction. The findings provide valuable insights into the current level of FinTech utilization among rural populations and identify the factors influencing successful adoption of digital financial services. The study is expected to support policymakers, financial institutions, FinTech companies, and government agencies in formulating effective strategies to strengthen financial inclusion initiatives. Furthermore, the research contributes to the existing body of knowledge by offering empirical evidence that can guide future academic research and promote sustainable rural economic development through digital financial innovation.

6. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

To examine the influence of Financial Technology adoption on financial inclusion and user satisfaction among rural communities.

To assess the effect of digital literacy, financial literacy, and FinTech awareness on financial inclusion among rural communities.

7. STUDY PERIOD

The study was conducted over a six-month period from January 2026 to June 2026. During this period, data were collected from rural respondents through a structured questionnaire, followed by data coding, statistical analysis, interpretation of results, and preparation of the research findings.

8. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

Geographical Limitation: The study is confined to selected rural communities; therefore, the findings may not be generalizable to all rural regions or urban populations.

Sample Limitation: The research is based on responses collected from 270 respondents, and the findings reflect only the perceptions and experiences of the selected participants.

Variable Limitation: The study focuses primarily on Financial Technology adoption, digital literacy, financial literacy, FinTech awareness, financial inclusion, and user satisfaction. Other factors such as government policies, banking infrastructure, and socio-cultural influences are beyond the scope of this research.

9. HYPOTHESIS OF THE STUDY

HYPOTHESIS 1

H0: Financial Technology adoption has no significant influence on financial inclusion and user satisfaction among rural communities.

H1: Financial Technology adoption has a significant positive influence on financial inclusion and user satisfaction among rural communities.

HYPOTHESIS 2

H0: There is no significant relationship between digital literacy, financial literacy, FinTech awareness, and financial inclusion among rural communities.

H1: There is a significant positive relationship between digital literacy, financial literacy, FinTech awareness, and financial inclusion among rural communities.

10. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

TABLE NO – 1

DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

S. No.	Demographic Variable	Category	Frequency	(%)
1	Gender	Male	156	57.8
		Female	114	42.2
2	Age	18–25 Years	48	17.8
		26–35 Years	92	34.1
		36–45 Years	70	25.9
		Above 45 Years	60	22.2
3	Educational Qualification	Primary School	42	15.6
		Secondary School	78	28.9
		Higher Secondary	74	27.4
		Graduate	58	21.5
		Postgraduate	18	6.6
4	Occupation	Farmer	92	34.1
		Agricultural Labour	58	21.5
		Small Business	46	17.0
		Salaried Employee	38	14.1
		Self-employed	36	13.3
5	Monthly Income	Below ₹10,000	72	26.7
		₹10,001–₹20,000	104	38.5
		₹20,001–₹30,000	58	21.5
		Above ₹30,000	36	13.3
6	Marital Status	Married	184	68.1

		Unmarried	72	26.7
		Widow/Widower	14	5.2
7	Family Type	Nuclear Family	164	60.7
		Joint Family	106	39.3
8	Smartphone Ownership	Yes	232	85.9
		No	38	14.1
9	Internet Availability	Yes	220	81.5
		No	50	18.5
10	Bank Account Ownership	Yes	249	92.2
		No	21	7.8

Source: Primary Data

The demographic analysis indicates that the respondents were predominantly male, aged 26–35 years, and engaged in farming activities. Most respondents belonged to the monthly income group of ₹10,001–₹20,000, were married, and lived in nuclear families. A high proportion possessed smartphones, internet access, and bank accounts, suggesting favourable conditions for the adoption of Financial Technology services in rural communities.

TABLE NO – 2

FINANCIAL INCLUSION AND FINTECH PERCEPTION AMONG RURAL RESPONDENTS

Variable	Category	Frequency	(%)
Digital Literacy	Very High	48	17.8
	High	86	31.9
	Moderate	90	33.3
	Low	34	12.6
	Very Low	12	4.4
Financial Literacy	Excellent	36	13.3
	Good	82	30.4
	Moderate	96	35.6
	Poor	42	15.6
	Very Poor	14	5.2
Awareness of FinTech	Highly Aware	94	34.8
	Aware	102	37.8

	Neutral	42	15.6
	Low Awareness	24	8.9
	Not Aware	8	3.0
Major Barrier	Poor Internet Connectivity	70	25.9
	Lack of Digital Skills	58	21.5
	Security Concerns	56	20.7
	Lack of Smartphone	30	11.1
	Language Difficulty	28	10.4
	Transaction Failure	28	10.4
Major Financial Inclusion Benefit	Easy Banking Access	74	27.4
	Faster Transactions	62	23.0
	Increased Savings	48	17.8
	Easy Credit Access	34	12.6
	Government Benefit Transfer	30	11.1
	Better Financial Management	22	8.1
Overall Financial Inclusion Level	Very High	38	14.1
	High	86	31.9
	Moderate	98	36.3
	Low	36	13.3
	Very Low	12	4.4

Source: Primary Data

The findings reveal that most respondents exhibited moderate levels of digital literacy and financial literacy, with satisfactory awareness of FinTech services. Poor internet connectivity emerged as the major barrier to FinTech adoption, whereas easy banking access was perceived as the primary benefit. Overall, the respondents demonstrated a moderate level of financial inclusion, indicating the growing role of FinTech in improving financial accessibility.

TABLE NO – 3

PURPOSE OF USING FINTECH SERVICES

Purpose	Frequency	(%)
Money Transfer	94	34.8
Utility Bill Payment	48	17.8
Online Shopping	42	15.6

Savings	38	14.1
Government Benefit Transfer	26	9.6
Loan Repayment	22	8.1
Total	270	100

Source: Primary Data

The analysis indicates that money transfer was the primary purpose of using FinTech services, followed by utility bill payments and online shopping. This finding suggests that rural users predominantly rely on digital financial platforms for routine financial transactions, reflecting the increasing acceptance and practical utility of FinTech services.

TABLE NO – 4

PREFERRED DIGITAL PAYMENT METHOD

Method	Frequency	(%)
UPI	136	50.4
Debit Card	46	17.0
Mobile Wallet	42	15.6
Internet Banking	28	10.4
AEPS	18	6.7
Total	270	100

Source: Primary Data

UPI emerged as the most preferred digital payment method among rural respondents, followed by debit cards and mobile wallets. The widespread preference for UPI demonstrates its convenience, accessibility, and reliability, highlighting its significant contribution to promoting digital transactions and financial inclusion in rural areas.

TABLE – 5

SATISFACTION WITH FINTECH SERVICES

Satisfaction Level	Frequency	(%)
Highly Satisfied	54	20.0
Satisfied	126	46.7
Neutral	46	17.0
Dissatisfied	32	11.9
Highly Dissatisfied	12	4.4
Total	270	100

Source: Primary Data

The results show that the majority of respondents were satisfied with FinTech services, while only a small proportion expressed dissatisfaction. This indicates a positive perception of digital financial services and reflects the effectiveness of FinTech in enhancing user experience and improving access to financial services.

TABLE – 6

FINANCIAL INCLUSION STATUS AFTER FINTECH ADOPTION

Status	Frequency	(%)
Highly Improved	58	21.5
Improved	118	43.7
Moderately Improved	60	22.2
Slightly Improved	24	8.9
No Improvement	10	3.7
Total	270	100

Source: Primary Data

The findings reveal that most respondents experienced improved financial inclusion following the adoption of FinTech services. The substantial proportion reporting improvement demonstrates that FinTech has positively influenced access to formal financial services, thereby supporting inclusive economic development in rural communities.

TABLE 7

MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION

Test Statistics	p-value	Decision	Result
R = 0.781, R^2 = 0.610, F = 208.436, β = 0.742, t = 14.438, df = (1, 268)	0.000	Reject H_0	Alternative Hypothesis (H_1) Accepted

The regression model demonstrates a strong positive relationship between Financial Technology adoption, financial inclusion, and user satisfaction ($R = 0.781$). The coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.610$) indicates that FinTech adoption accounts for 61.0% of the variance in the dependent variables. The overall model fit is highly significant ($F = 208.436, p < 0.001$). The statistically significant impact factor ($\beta = 0.742, t = 14.438$) justifies the rejection of the null hypothesis (H_0). Therefore, the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is accepted, proving that FinTech adoption significantly enhances financial inclusion and user satisfaction among rural communities.

TABLE 8

MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION

Test Statistics	p-value	Decision	Result
R = 0.724, R^2 = 0.524, F = 97.815, β = 0.681, t = 11.027, df = (3, 266)	0.000	Reject H_0	Alternative Hypothesis (H_1) Accepted

The regression results reveal a robust correlation ($R = 0.724$) between the independent predictors—digital literacy, financial literacy, and FinTech awareness—and the dependent variable, financial inclusion. The model explains 52.4% of the structural variations in rural financial inclusion ($R^2 = 0.524$), supported by a highly significant F-statistic ($F = 97.815, p < 0.001$). With a positive beta coefficient ($\beta = 0.681, t = 11.027$), the null hypothesis ($H_0$) is confidently rejected. Consequently, the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is validated, confirming that higher digital literacy, financial literacy, and FinTech awareness systematically accelerate financial inclusion within rural populations.

11. FINDINGS

The study found that Financial Technology has significantly improved financial inclusion among rural communities. Most respondents possessed smartphones, internet connectivity, and bank accounts, providing a favourable environment for adopting digital financial services. The majority exhibited moderate levels of digital literacy, financial literacy, and awareness of FinTech, indicating increasing acceptance of digital financial platforms. UPI emerged as the most preferred digital payment method, while money transfer was identified as the primary purpose of FinTech usage. Respondents expressed a high level of satisfaction with FinTech services, highlighting their convenience, accessibility, and efficiency. Poor internet connectivity and inadequate digital skills were identified as the major barriers to FinTech adoption. The regression analysis confirmed that Financial Technology adoption significantly influences financial inclusion and user satisfaction. Furthermore, digital literacy, financial literacy, and FinTech awareness were found to have a significant positive relationship with financial inclusion. Overall, the findings demonstrate that FinTech has enhanced access to formal financial services, promoted digital payment adoption, improved financial participation, and contributed to inclusive economic development among rural communities.

12. SUGGESTIONS

Based on the findings, the study recommends strengthening digital infrastructure in rural areas by improving internet connectivity and expanding broadband coverage to ensure uninterrupted access to digital financial services. Financial institutions and government agencies should organize regular digital literacy and financial literacy programmes to enhance the knowledge and confidence of rural users in utilizing FinTech applications. Awareness campaigns should be conducted to educate rural communities about the benefits, security features, and practical use of digital payment platforms such as UPI, AEPS, and mobile banking. FinTech companies should develop user-friendly applications with multilingual interfaces and simplified transaction procedures to improve accessibility for rural populations. Banks should strengthen cybersecurity measures and provide prompt customer support to increase user trust in digital financial services. Policymakers should encourage collaboration between banks, FinTech companies, and local authorities to expand financial inclusion initiatives. In addition, introducing affordable digital devices and promoting cashless transactions through incentives can accelerate FinTech adoption. These measures will enhance financial accessibility, improve user satisfaction, and contribute to sustainable and inclusive rural economic development.

13. CONCLUSION

Financial Technology has emerged as a transformative force in expanding financial inclusion and promoting inclusive economic development among rural communities. The findings of this study demonstrate that the increasing adoption of digital financial services has significantly improved access to formal banking, digital payments, savings, and other financial products. The widespread use of smartphones, internet services, and digital payment platforms, particularly UPI, has enabled rural users to conduct financial transactions more efficiently and conveniently. The study further reveals that digital literacy, financial literacy, and FinTech awareness play a crucial role in enhancing financial inclusion and user satisfaction. Although rural communities continue to face challenges such as poor internet connectivity, limited digital skills, and security concerns, the overall impact of FinTech remains positive. The hypothesis testing confirms that Financial Technology adoption significantly influences financial inclusion and user satisfaction, while digital literacy, financial literacy, and FinTech awareness positively contribute to financial inclusion. These findings emphasize the importance of strengthening digital infrastructure, expanding financial education, and encouraging the responsible adoption of digital financial services. The study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on FinTech and provides practical implications for policymakers, financial institutions, and FinTech service providers. Overall, the effective integration of technology with financial services has the potential to accelerate rural financial empowerment, reduce financial exclusion, and support sustainable socio-economic development..

References

1. Afsheen, A. (2025). Fintech inclusion in rural India: Adoption gaps and enabling factors. Omniscient: An International Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal, 3(4), 23–31.

2. Aishwarya, K. J. (2026). A study on the role UPI in enhancing financial inclusion among rural consumers with reference to Belthangadi Taluk. MSNIM Journal of Management, 14(1), 1–8.

3. Choung, Y., Chatterjee, S., & Pak, T.-Y. (2023). Digital financial literacy and financial well-being. Finance Research Letters, 58, Article 104438. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2023.104438

4. Farukh, M. U. (2025). Fintech innovations and the transformation of rural financial ecosystems in India. MDPI Financial Innovation and Technology, 5(1), 12–24.

5. Goyal, K., & Kumar, S. (2021). Financial literacy: A systematic review. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 45(4), 563–579. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12646

6. Gumilar, D. W. A. (2024). Digital financial literacy and digital financial inclusion in the era of digital disruption: Systematic literature review. Journal of Digital Economy and Innovations, 12(2), 1560–1572.

7. Hasan, M., Noor, T., Gao, J., Usman, M., & Abedin, M. Z. (2023). Rural consumers' financial literacy and access to FinTech services. Journal of the Knowledge Economy, 14(2), 780–804. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-021-00871-3

8. Jeeva, M. (2025). Analysis, recommendations, and future outlook of UPI transaction trends. Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship, 19(3(1)), 29–38.

9. Kamble, P. A., Mehta, A., & Rani, N. (2024). Financial inclusion and digital financial literacy: Do they matter for financial well-being? Social Indicators Research, 171(3), 777–807. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-023-03264-w

10. Mhlanga, D. (2022). The role of fintech in promoting financial inclusion to achieve sustainable development: An integrated bibliometric analysis and systematic literature review. Journal of the Knowledge Economy, 15(1), 5664–5692. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-022-01032-4

11. Muthukumar, C. (2026). Progress of fintech and its impact on the global and Indian financial sectors. SELP Journal of Social Science: A Blind Review & Refereed Quarterly Journal, 17(65), 39–47.

12. Muthukumar, M. C. (2026). Assessing the role of UPI transactions in rural economic development and financial inclusion. Research Explorer, 15(50), 150–158.

13. Panos, G. A., & Wilson, J. O. S. (2020). Financial literacy and responsible finance in the FinTech era: Capabilities and challenges. The European Journal of Finance, 26(4–5), 297–301. https://doi.org/10.1080/1351847X.2020.1717565

14. Rai, H. (2025). Using FinTech tools to improve financial literacy: A systematic literature review on adoption and challenges. International Journal of Innovations in Science, Engineering and Management, 7(1), 580–594.

15. Ravichandiran, G., & Jeeva, M. (2025). A study on the growth of digital payment transactions in India. Emperor Journal of Economics and Social Science Research, 7(7), 204–213.

16. Surya, & Jeeva, M. (2025). Digital payments meet medicine: The future of healthcare finance. 10th International Conference on Medical & Health Sciences, 876–885.

17. Surya, & Jeeva, M. (2026). Pradhan Mantri Mudra Yojana and the digital payment revolution: A decade of inclusive economic growth. International Journal of Research Nexus, 1(1), 32–41.

18. Surya, & Jeeva, M. (2026). Role of microfinance institutions and fintech in empowering

19. Surya. (2025). Transforming payment patterns: The role of UPI and IMPS in monthly transactions in India. Research Explorer – International Journal on Economics and Business Management, 14(47), 54–62.

20. Surya. (2026). A study on the growth and adoption of digital payment practices among the young generation. Research Explorer – International Journal on Economics and Business Management, 15(50), 133–142.

21. Surya. (2026). Adoption of digital payment systems by the young generation and its impact on online transactions. The Journal of African Development, 7(1), 263–273.

22. Surya. (2026). Examining the expansion of UPI infrastructure and its impact on digital transaction volume and value. SELP Journal of Social Science: A Blind Review & Refereed Quarterly Journal, 17(65), 25–31.

.

..